

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,341	•	09/11/2003	Hiroki Ogata	YAMA-65283	5146
24201	7590	06/05/2006		EXAMINER	
	DER PATT		SAGER, MARK ALAN		
6060 CENTER DRIVE 10TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045				3712	
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/660,341	OGATA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. A. Sager	3712					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/12/0	04. 1/12/04 and 11/20/03.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	•	• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•	` '					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		\ 1					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	`	d					
occ the attached detailed office action for a fist of	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachment(s)							
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/20/03, 1/12/04.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/660,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

Preliminary Amendment

1. Preliminary amendment received Aug. 12, 2004, cancels original claim 1 and adds claims 2-27. It is noted that amended claims appear to broaden original invention at least due to elimination of vibration motor, means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips while maintaining the plurality of finger-manipulatable controllers on the housing, as contained in new claims for first and second controllers.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 2-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6171191. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the vibration motor, means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips limited the invention where patentability was not contained therein, thus by eliminating vibration motor,

Application/Control Number: 10/660,341

Art Unit: 3712

means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips from the claims, a broader invention is secured where patentability lies within

- 3. Claims 2-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 66641479 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because at least the structure for the circuit board, supportive member, vibration motor and pair of diverging grips limited the invention where patentability was not contained therein, thus by eliminating the circuit board, supportive member, vibration motor, means of supplying electric current and pair of diverging grips from the claims, a broader invention is secured where patentability lies within.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. A. Sager whose telephone number is 571-272-4454. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 0700-1730 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, John Hotaling can be reached on 571-272-4437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Sager
Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 3712

Mas